



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,568	12/12/2003	Satoshi Kojima	03500.017762.	8616
5514 7590 07/03/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER DOTE, JANIS L	
			ART UNIT 1756	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/03/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/733,568	Applicant(s) KOJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Janis L. Dote	Art Unit 1756	

All Participants:

(1) Janis L. Dote.

(2) Mr. Scott Malpede (Reg. No. 32,533).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 June 2007

Time: 4:15 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☒ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see the attached

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Janis L. Dote
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Art Unit: 1756

The examiner informed Mr. Malpede that the response filed on May 23, 2007, was not responsive to all the issues raised in the "Notice of a non-responsive amendment to a Quayle action" mailed on Apr. 23, 2007. Applicants' response did not address the objection the specification under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) at pages 2-4 of the notice. It was agreed that Mr. Malpede would duly file a supplemental response to address the objection.